

Dear Bro. Vogel,

I appreciate you and your family very much. I respect you as a man and as a military hero. I have loved every book you that you have written. I appreciate the way your children have turned out and your sweet, strong marriage. I admire you in many ways. I enjoyed so much the trip that you and your wife made down to speak at our Valentine's Banquet and I am glad your wife saw a real, live redneck. :-)

I have read your letter three times, and every time I read it, I am just more confused and hurt. My oldest son just graduated from high school and has surrendered to preach. We wanted him to go to HAC and he wanted to go there. I have two degrees from there and so does my wife. My brother graduated from there and nine of my wife's siblings plus her parents went to HAC. I was Mr. Hylander and haven't missed a Pastor's School since I graduated. We are for HAC. I was so much looking forward to this Pastor's School for Bro. Schaap to clear up this issue, but I felt he only talked about "unity" for his sake and "you all don't know Greek" instead of the inspiration of scripture and answering our questions.

The debate all year long was is the KJB inspired or just preserved. Bro. Schaap has said it is preserved and many of us believe it is inspired. Some say that is just semantics, but I believe there is a big difference. Then before Pastor's school, Bro. Schaap taught on Wednesday night (3/4/09) on "What is Preserved?" He said in that sermon that the English is not preserved and only the original languages of Greek, Hebrew and Aramaic are preserved and we can find the preserved scripture at Westminster Abby, Oxford and Cambridge. I was amazed. Then he read the printing errors of the first edition KJB and acted like there were errors in the Bible. Printing errors are not textual errors.

I was floored. I couldn't believe it. Then Bro. Schaap acts like he still believes what Bro. Hyles taught, and says things like that.

At Pastor's School I was able to talk to Bro. Schaap for 30 minutes in his office. We had a kind, calm cordial conversation, but it left me with more questions in my mind. He said that Bro. Hyles changed his position on the Bible in 1984 as a diversion for what was going on with his son Dave. I was shocked. He was basically saying that Bro. Hyles didn't believe the KJB, but it was just a diversion.

Then Bro. Schaap said that the KJB was perfect, meaning complete, but not faultless. That is incredibly scary.

Because my son was going to Bible college this year, I have had to find out what colleges believe and make sure I know what I believe. I am amazed at how many people don't understand the issue. I don't blame you for not knowing the issue, since you are a science teacher and not a Bible teacher, but it hurts when you condemn us that believe the KJB is inspired, when Bro. Schaap is the one calling names. He preached a sermon at FBC on a Sunday night in November, and then put it up on his website for all our members to hear. Our people came asking me if we were a cult, charismatic, catholic or mormon because we believe in the inspiration of the KJB. Who is meddling in other churches and causing problems with other church members and who is calling who names?

When I talked to Bro. Schaap in his office and explained to him the issue of inspiration and the real definition,

he sat back, stuttered and said, "I have not heard all that." He is touting himself as the expert and hadn't even heard the little lesson I gave him, which I think would so simply clear up the issue.

I will give you a little of that here.

The word inspired in 2 Tim. 3:16 is being defined wrongly as God-breathed. We have all used that definition, because that is what our corrupt Lexicons and Strong's concordance have said. James Strong was on the ASV and RV committees and the Lexicon writers were even worse. They have given us the wrong definitions. You understand how Satan wants to change the "times and seasons." He always to change the definitions. What is the definition of marriage today? If Satan can change that simple definition, then what do we have. Satan has always tried to change the words.

Here five different ways to see the correct definition of inspired:

1.) Look at the root of the word - take away the prefix and suffix - spir (spirit)

-all scripture is given by the Holy Spirit

2.) Compare Scripture to scripture - use a simple verse to help explain a hard verse. 2 Peter 1:21

2 Peter 1:21 For the prophecy came not in old time by the will of man: but holy men of God spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost.

That verse specifically says that men spake not God breathed. Men spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost.

-all scripture is given by the Holy Spirit

3.) Look it up in a good dictionary and use the definition that fits the context.

Webster's 1828

1. The act of drawing air into the lungs; the inhaling of air; a branch of respiration, and opposed to expiration.

2. The act of breathing into any thing.

3. The infusion of ideas into the mind by the Holy Spirit; the conveying into the minds of men, ideas, notices or monitions by extraordinary or supernatural influence; or the communication of the divine will to the understanding by suggestions or impressions on the mind, which leave no room to doubt the reality of their supernatural origin.

All Scripture is given by inspiration of God. 2 Tim.3.

4. The infusion of ideas or directions by the supposed deities of pagans.

5. The infusion or communication of ideas or poetic spirit, by a superior being or supposed presiding power; as the inspiration of Homer or other poet.

Why would someone use a secular definition "breathe" when there is a strong, correct Biblical definition in #3?

4.) Look up the Greek word.

The root word "pneuma" was translated 322 times "spirit, 91 times "ghost" (like Holy Ghost) and one time "life"

Never was it translated "breathe." That is a totally different word.

-all scripture is given by the Holy Spirit

5.) The study of the Holy Spirit is called...

Pneumatology - The same Greek root word.

-all scripture is given by the Holy Spirit

I understand that most of the educators don't know this subject, but it is not hard to study it. Many good people have done the study and have written good books that answer all the questions. I talked to Ray Young almost two weeks ago and he said he didn't even know what the Textus Receptus was a year ago. That is sad when the president of HAC didn't know that. It is time for the educators to get caught up on this all important issue, or many parents and pastors like me won't be able to send our children and students.

The men up there need to study the Greek NT that is studied in class. It is a textus receptus, but it is Scrivener's, who was on the Revised Version translation committee. His Greek NT was back-translated from the KJB. The Greek NT came from the English in the 1800's. Why do I need a back translated Greek New Testament (that has errors in it) to study the Word of God when we have it in the KJB.

The men up there need to study the Lexicon we were given. It was compiled by Harold Moulton, who got most of the definitions from his heretical dad and grandfather who was on the RV translation committee. The catholic church praised Moulton for his lexicon for putting in "secular" Greek definitions and not only theological definitions. That is the book we study to get the definition of the Word of God? Please!

Look at the difference definitions of Marriage by three different dictionaries:

Webster's 1828

**MAR'RIAGE**, n. [L.mas, maris.] The act of uniting a man and woman for life; wedlock; the legal union of a man and woman for life. Marriage is a contract both civil and religious, by which the parties engage to live together in mutual affection and fidelity, till death shall separate them. Marriage was instituted by God himself for the purpose of preventing the promiscuous intercourse of the sexes, for promoting domestic felicity, and for securing the maintenance and education of children.

Marriage is honorable in all and the bed undefiled. Heb.13.

Merriam-Webster's

1 a (1): the state of being united to a person of the opposite sex as husband or wife in a consensual and contractual relationship recognized by law (2): the state of being united to a person of the same sex in a relationship like that of a traditional marriage

Wikionary

MARRIAGE: The union of two people, to the exclusion of all others, voluntarily entered into for life.

It is amazing what is changed by the changing of a definition.

To become pastor, Bro. Schaap had to agree to the list of things Bro. Hyles laid out in his '93 sermon, "The Calling of a Pastor." The deacons voted to follow that sermon as they chose a new pastor. Dr. Hyles specifically said that the next pastor had to believe that the King James Bible was "the inspired and persevered Word of God." Read Dr. Hyles book, "The Science of the Christian Life Volume 2" the chapter on, "The Calling of a Pastor." If Bro. Schaap believed the word of God is only inspired and preserved in the original manuscripts, then why didn't he say that then. We wouldn't have this problem now.

Bro. Hyles taught us to be loyal to principles and not institutions. He knew this would happen one day, but none of us thought it would be so soon. Please help this not to happen. If the good men there took a stand for right, then we wouldn't lose HAC. Many men know there is problem, but don't know what to do.

We all know the quote. Edmund Burke said, 'The only thing necessary for the triumph [of evil] is for good men to do nothing.'

I would love to talk to you personally on this. Call me if you want. (931) 797-1816 cell phone, or give me your number and a good time to call you. Why don't you as the academic dean find out the truth and help. This is an academic problem.

We love HAC, the church and the staff and we are praying.

Bro. Dave Baker